

EXHIBIT G

In The Matter Of:
Hodell-Natco Industries, Inc. v.
SAP America, Inc., et al.

Kevin Reidl
Vol. 2
July 31, 2012

NEXTGEN|REPORTING

Making Litigation Easier.

NextGenReporting.com

PHILADELPHIA | 215.944.5800 NEW YORK CITY | 646.470.3376 PHOENIX | 623.224.2760 SILICON VALLEY | 650.799.8020

Original File kreidl2_1.TXT
Min-U-Script® with Word Index

Page 181		
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO	
3	EASTERN DIVISION	
4	HODELL-NATCO INDUSTRIES, INC.,	Case No. 1:08 CV 2755
5	Plaintiffs,	Judge: Lesley Wells
6	vs.	Magistrate Judge: Greg White
7	SAP AMERICA, INC.,	Volume II
8	et al.,	
9	Defendants.	
VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION OF KEVIN REIDL		
13	DATE:	Tuesday, July 31, 2012
14	TIME:	9:04 a.m.
15	PLACE:	Reminger & Reminger
16		1400 Midland Building
17		101 Prospect Avenue, West
18		Cleveland, Ohio 44115
23	NEXTGEN REPORTING	Angela A. O'Neill, RPR
24		6729 Ross Road
25	Registered Professional Reporters	Rockford, Ohio 45882
		(419) 302-4039

Page 182	
1	APPEARANCES:
2	ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF:
3	MR. P. WESLEY LAMBERT, ESQ.
4	Koehler, Neal, LLC
5	3330 Erieview Tower
6	1301 East Ninth Street
7	Cleveland, Ohio 44114
	(216) 539-9370
	wlambert@koehlerneal.com
8	ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT SAP AMERICA, SAP AG:
9	MR. GREGORY J. STAR, ESQ.
10	Drinker, Biddle, Reath
11	One Logan Square
12	Suite 2000
13	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103
14	(215) 988-2734
15	Gregory.Star@dbr.com
16	ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT LSI:
17	MR. ROY A. HULME, ESQ.
18	Reminger & Reminger
19	1400 Midland Building
20	101 Prospect Avenue, West
21	Cleveland, Ohio 44115
22	(216) 687-1311
23	rhulme@reminger.com

Page 183	
1	W I T N E S S I N D E X
2	
3	PAGE
4	CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	KEVIN REIDL
6	BY MR. STAR 5
7	BY MR. HULME 468
8	E X H I B I T I N D E X
9	Deposition -
10	Exhibit 3 Amended Complaint 310
11	Exhibit 5 11/1/05 Article 233
12	Exhibit 16 10/14/04 Article from SAP 228
13	Exhibit 24 Hodell Con. Financials 02-09 197
14	Exhibit 36 Exhibit A to Amended Comp. 216
15	Exhibit 144 11/14/06 Chain of Emails 289
16	Exhibit 146 1/12/07 Chain of Emails 294
17	Exhibit 252 12/05 License Agreement 240
18	Exhibit 277 5/22/09 Email to Activant 440
19	Exhibit 281 Survey from Activant 438
20	Exhibit 297 5/19/05 Chain of Emails 230
21	Exhibit 314 Exhibit A to Amended Comp. 218
22	Exhibit 315 10/11/04 Hodell Document 225
23	Exhibit 316 12/05 License Agreement 238
24	Exhibit 317 Hodell 39901-39952 261
25	Exhibit 318 1/21-25/06 Chain of Emails 273

Page 184	
1	Exhibit 319 Transcript of Lowery Tapes 340
2	Exhibit 320 5/21-22/07 Chain of Emails 347
3	Exhibit 321 5/22/07 Chain of Emails 354
4	Exhibit 322 1/11/08 Chain of Emails 361
5	Exhibit 323 Compendium Ex of Bus. Rec. 363
6	Exhibit 324 Kennedy's Expert Report 382
7	Exhibit 325 9/25/09 Chain of Emails 443
8	Exhibit 326 12/18/09 Chain of Emails 448
9	Exhibit 327 1/15/10 Chain of Emails 463

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 253</p> <p>1 Q. Okay. Did you review this 2 document with anybody else at Hodell? 3 A. I believe briefly with Otto. 4 Q. Did you read the whole thing 5 before you signed it? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Did it give you any concern when 8 you read the -- the entire document before you 9 signed it? 10 A. It appeared to be pretty standard 11 software licensing stuff, where no one's 12 accountable for anything. 13 Q. And you understood when you read 14 it in December of 2005 that this was pretty 15 standard software licensing language, correct? 16 A. From my recollection. 17 Q. Okay. Did you wonder at the time 18 why you hadn't seen a document, or been asked 19 to sign a document like this back in December 20 of 2004? 21 A. I don't recall. I -- I just know 22 that for the -- for the release of those 40 23 licenses, this had to be signed. 24 Q. Back in December of 2004, and 25 before signing this agreement, and the license</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 255</p> <p>1 license agreement. 2 A. Okay. 3 Q. You signed it December 23, 2005, 4 correct? 5 A. Correct. 6 Q. Is that your handwriting on the 7 front, where you fill in the date, and the 8 name of your company and the address? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. You see under the definition 11 section, for instance, in 1.7, it spells out 12 the details of what's proprietary information? 13 Do you see that? 14 MR. LAMBERT: Objection. 15 THE WITNESS: 1.7? 16 BY MR. STAR: 17 Q. Yes. 18 A. Yeah. It -- just give me a 19 minute to read it, if you can. (Doing as 20 indicated.) Yes. 21 Q. No language like that appears 22 anywhere in the development agreement, does 23 it? 24 A. I don't believe so. 25 Q. You see Section 2 of the license</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 254</p> <p>1 agreement in December of 2005, did you have 2 any expectation that Hodell actually had the 3 legal right to use any of SAP's software? 4 MR. LAMBERT: I'll object. Form. 5 THE WITNESS: Yes, I believe we had the 6 right to use their software, because we had 7 purchased 80 licenses in 2004. 8 BY MR. STAR: 9 Q. What is your basis besides -- 10 well, strike that. 11 What is your basis for saying that 12 before signing this license agreement in 13 December of 2005, Hodell had the right to use 14 SAP's software? 15 A. Well, we -- 16 MR. LAMBERT: Objection. 17 THE WITNESS: -- we purchased 80 18 licenses from their business partner. 19 BY MR. STAR: 20 Q. Through the development 21 agreement? 22 A. Through the development 23 agreement, which specified 80 SAP Business One 24 licenses. 25 Q. Let's -- let's look at the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 256</p> <p>1 agreement is titled License Grant? You agree 2 with me that no language like that is found 3 anywhere in the development agreement? 4 A. I don't believe so. 5 MR. LAMBERT: Objection. 6 BY MR. STAR: 7 Q. You don't believe it's found in 8 the development agreement? 9 A. I don't believe it's found in the 10 development agreement. 11 Q. Section 6 of the agreement is 12 titled Proprietary Rights. You agree with me 13 that there is no language like that found in 14 the development agreement? 15 MR. LAMBERT: Objection, form. 16 THE WITNESS: I don't believe so. 17 BY MR. STAR: 18 Q. You don't believe that language 19 is in the development agreement? 20 A. Well, I can look back. I don't 21 -- I don't believe it's in the development 22 agreement. 23 Q. Those sections that we just 24 looked at, they're a part of what you, back in 25 December of 2005, considered to be pretty</p>

Page 477

1 ERRATA SHEET

2 WITNESS: KEVIN REIDL

3 DATE: July 31, 2012

4 CASE: Hodell-Natco vs. SAP America, et al.

5 After you have read your transcript,

6 please note any errors in transcription on this

7 page. Do not mark on the transcript itself.

8 Please sign and date this sheet as indicated

9 below. If additional lines are required for

10 corrections, attach additional sheets. If no

11 corrections, please indicate "None."

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 DATED: _____

24

25 KEVIN REIDL

Page 478

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

3 EASTERN DIVISION

4 HODELL-NATCO) Case No. 1:08 CV 2755

5 INDUSTRIES, INC.,)

6 Plaintiffs,) Judge: Lesley Wells

7 vs.) Magistrate Judge:

8) Greg White

9)

10)

11)

12)

13)

14)

15)

16)

17)

18)

19)

20)

21)

22)

23)

24)

25)

SIGNATURE SHEET

DEPOSITION OF KEVIN REIDL

I do hereby acknowledge that the above and foregoing deposition has been submitted to me. I have carefully read the same, and it correctly portrays the answers given by me, except as may be otherwise noted on the errata sheet(s) attached hereto.

KEVIN REIDL

Dated: _____

Page 479

1 CERTIFICATE OF THE REPORTER

2 I, Angela A. O'Neill, a Registered

3 Professional Reporter and Notary Public,

4 authorized to administer oaths and to take and

5 certify depositions, do hereby certify that the

6 above-named witness was by me, before the giving

7 of their deposition, first duly sworn to testify

8 the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the

9 truth to questions propounded at the taking of the

10 foregoing deposition in a cause now pending and

11 undetermined in said court.

12 I further certify that the deposition

13 above-set forth was reduced to writing by me by

14 means of machine shorthand and was later

15 transcribed from my original shorthand notes; that

16 this is a true record of the testimony given by

17 the witness; and that said deposition was taken at

18 the aforementioned time, date, and place, pursuant

19 to notice or stipulations of counsel.

20 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have set my hand and

21 seal this 3rd day of August, 2012.

22

23 *Angela A. O'Neill*

24

25 Angela A. O'Neill, RPR